Six Sigma Kaizen

SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

COMPLETE GUIDE SERIES

GUIDE 1 OF 10

Supply Chain Strategy and Design

A Comprehensive Practitioner Guide to Building Competitive Supply Chain Networks

Meridian Industrial Components Case Study Included

Table of Contents

Table of Contents 1

Introduction: Why Supply Chain Strategy Comes First 3

Section 1: What Is Supply Chain Strategy? 3

- The Supply Chain as a Competitive Weapon 4
- The Four Competitive Priorities 4

Section 2: Strategic Frameworks for Supply Chain Design 5

- Fisher's Model: Functional vs. Innovative Products 5
- The SCOR Model: A Process Reference Framework 6
- The Lean-Agile Continuum 7

Section 3: Supply Chain Network Design 7

The Five Network Design Decisions 7
Centralized vs. Decentralized Network Structures 8
Total Cost of Network Design 9
Section 4: Make vs. Buy — Defining Organizational Boundaries 10
The Core Competency Test 10
Total Cost of Outsourcing 10
Section 5: Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) 11
The TCO Framework 11
Building a TCO Model 12
Section 6: Case Study — Meridian Industrial Components 12
Company Background 12
The Strategic Crisis 13
Current State Analysis 13
Strategic Recommendations 14
Financial Impact Modeling 15
Section 7: The Supply Chain Strategy Process 15
The Strategic Review Cycle 16
When to Trigger a Strategy Review Outside the Annual Cycle 16
Section 8: Supply Chain Metrics and KPI Alignment 17
The Strategic Metrics Framework 17
Section 9: Key Charts and Analytical Frameworks 18
Supply Chain Cost Waterfall Chart 18
Network Complexity vs. Total Cost Curve 18
Supplier Segmentation Matrix 19
Section 10: Best Practices, Common Errors, and Tips for Practitioners 20
Top 10 Best Practices in Supply Chain Strategy 20
The Most Costly Supply Chain Strategy Errors 20
Key Frameworks at a Glance 21
Supply Chain Strategy Checklist 22
Sources and Further Reading 23

Introduction: Why Supply Chain Strategy Comes First

Every organization that makes, moves, or delivers a product operates within a supply chain. But very few operate within a *designed* supply chain. The difference between a supply chain that simply exists and one that is deliberately architected to serve competitive goals is often the difference between margin leaders and margin followers, between organizations that absorb disruptions and those that collapse under them.

Supply chain strategy is the foundational layer that all other supply chain decisions rest upon. Decisions about where to source, how much inventory to carry, which logistics networks to use, and how to structure supplier relationships all cascade from strategic choices made at the design level. Organizations that skip this layer and jump directly to operational execution find themselves optimizing pieces of a system that was never designed to work together.

This guide covers the full scope of supply chain strategy and design: the frameworks practitioners use to align supply chain structure with competitive priorities, the analytical tools for network design and total cost modeling, the make-versus-buy decisions that define organizational boundaries, and the ongoing process of strategic review that keeps supply chain design aligned with a changing business environment.

> **ABOUT THIS SERIES**
>
> This is Guide 1 of the 10-part Supply Chain Management Complete Guide Series. Each guide covers a distinct phase or discipline, building from strategic foundation through operational execution to leadership and integration. A continuous case study featuring Meridian Industrial Components (MIC) threads through all ten guides, showing real application of every concept in a mid-sized manufacturing context.

Section 1: What Is Supply Chain Strategy?

Supply chain strategy defines how an organization will configure and manage the flow of materials, information, and money from raw material origins to end customer delivery, in a way that supports its competitive position. It answers four fundamental questions:

- **What** activities and capabilities will we own versus outsource?
- **Where** will we locate manufacturing, distribution, and sourcing activities?
- **How** will we structure the flow of product and information across the network?
- **Who** will be our strategic partners, and how will we manage those relationships?

The Supply Chain as a Competitive Weapon

For much of the twentieth century, supply chain was treated as a cost center: a necessary back-office function whose job was to execute as cheaply as possible. This view has been thoroughly discredited by the competitive record of the past three decades. Amazon built a retail empire on supply chain superiority long before it competed on product breadth or pricing. Toyota built quality and cost advantages that American manufacturers took 30 years to partially close, not through manufacturing innovation alone, but through the Toyota Production System — which is fundamentally a supply chain system. Zara disrupts fashion retail not through design but through a supply chain that moves product from concept to shelf in 15 days while competitors take 6 months.

These examples share a common pattern: the supply chain strategy was built to serve a specific competitive priority, not inherited from convention or constructed incrementally without direction. The lesson for practitioners is that supply chain design is strategy execution, not strategy support.

> **BEST PRACTICE: Align Before You Optimize**
>
> Before optimizing any supply chain function, confirm that it is designed to serve the right competitive priority. A supply chain optimized for cost efficiency that should be optimized for responsiveness will perform well on the wrong metric. Alignment between competitive strategy and supply chain design is the first prerequisite; operational excellence within that design is the second.

The Four Competitive Priorities

Supply chain design begins with understanding what the business is trying to win on. The four primary competitive priorities are:

Competitive Priority	What It Means	Supply Chain Implication	Example Companies
Cost Leadership	Delivering acceptable quality at the lowest total cost	Maximize efficiency: lean inventory, low-cost sourcing, optimized transportation, minimal redundancy	Walmart, IKEA, McDonald's
Quality and Reliability	Consistently meeting or exceeding quality specifications	Supplier qualification rigor, in-process controls, traceability, low defect tolerance throughout network	Toyota, Boeing, medical device manufacturers

Speed and Responsiveness	Delivering faster than competition, or responding quickly to customer changes	Local sourcing, buffer inventory, flexible manufacturing capacity, rapid replenishment cycles	Zara, Amazon Prime, emergency parts suppliers
Flexibility and Innovation	Adapting quickly to new products, configurations, or volume swings	Modular production, diversified supplier base, postponement strategies, short product life cycle management	Apple, custom manufacturers, defense contractors

COMMON ERROR: Trying to Win on All Four

Organizations frequently attempt to build supply chains that are simultaneously the lowest-cost, highest-quality, fastest, and most flexible. This is not strategy — it is wishful thinking. Every design trade-off that serves one priority compromises another. Safety stock increases responsiveness but raises cost. Supplier diversification increases flexibility but reduces negotiating leverage and scale economies. Effective supply chain strategy requires deliberate prioritization, not the pursuit of all objectives equally.

Section 2: Strategic Frameworks for Supply Chain Design

Fisher's Model: Functional vs. Innovative Products

One of the most influential frameworks in supply chain strategy is Marshall Fisher's 1997 distinction between functional and innovative products, and the different supply chain designs each requires.

Functional products have predictable demand, long life cycles, and low margins — think commodity components, standard maintenance parts, or everyday consumables. These products need efficient supply chains focused on cost minimization, high utilization, and lean inventory.

Innovative products have unpredictable demand, short life cycles, high margins, and high stockout costs — think new technology products, fashion items, or seasonal goods. These products need responsive supply chains with short lead times, flexible capacity, and buffer inventory positioned to meet uncertain demand.

Dimension	Functional Products	Innovative Products
Demand	Predictable, stable	Unpredictable, variable
Life Cycle	Long (years)	Short (months)
Margin	Low (5-20%)	High (20-60%)

Stockout Cost	Low	High (lost sale, lost market position)
Obsolescence Risk	Low	High
Supply Chain Priority	Efficiency and cost	Responsiveness and availability
Inventory Strategy	Minimize inventory, high turns	Buffer inventory, accept higher carrying cost
Supplier Strategy	Low cost, high volume, fewer suppliers	Flexible, fast-response, proximity matters
Manufacturing Strategy	High utilization, long runs	Excess capacity, short runs, fast changeover

COMMON ERROR: Mismatching Supply Chain to Product Type

The most common strategic error Fisher identified is applying an efficient supply chain to innovative products, or a responsive supply chain to functional products. Retailers using efficient supply chains for fashion items consistently experience both stockouts on winning styles and excess inventory on losing ones. The mismatch is the problem, not the execution. Diagnosing which type of product you have is the essential first step.

The SCOR Model: A Process Reference Framework

The Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) model, developed by the Supply Chain Council (now APICS/ASCM), provides a standardized framework for describing, measuring, and improving supply chain processes. It organizes supply chain activity into six primary management processes:

SCOR Process	Definition	Key Decisions
Plan	Balancing aggregate demand with supply resources across the supply chain	Forecasting, S&OP, inventory positioning, capacity planning
Source	Procurement and supplier management activities	Supplier selection, sourcing strategy, contracts, supplier development
Make	Production and manufacturing execution	Manufacturing strategy, capacity, scheduling, quality control
Deliver	Order management, transportation, and distribution	Distribution network, carrier selection, order fulfillment, last-mile
Return	Managing reverse logistics and product returns	Return authorization, disposition, recycling, refurbishment
Enable	Business rules, data, technology, and talent supporting all processes	ERP/WMS/TMS systems, analytics, compliance, workforce management

SCOR is valuable not because it prescribes solutions, but because it provides a common language for supply chain discussion across functions and organizations. It also provides a benchmarking framework — SCOR metrics for each process level allow comparison against industry peers and identification of performance gaps.

The Lean-Agile Continuum

Another key strategic dimension is where on the lean-agile continuum a supply chain should be positioned. Lean supply chains are optimized for efficiency — eliminating waste, reducing inventory, maximizing utilization. Agile supply chains are optimized for responsiveness — speed to market, flexibility to demand swings, ability to reconfigure quickly. Most real supply chains need elements of both, and the concept of the decoupling point is central to designing hybrid systems.

The decoupling point (or customer order decoupling point, CODP) is the point in the supply chain where product flow shifts from push (forecast-driven) to pull (customer order-driven). Upstream of the decoupling point, lean principles apply: minimize waste, standardize, run efficiently. Downstream of the decoupling point, agile principles apply: respond fast, maintain flexibility, protect availability.

> **BEST PRACTICE: Positioning the Decoupling Point**
>
> Position your decoupling point as close to raw materials as possible for maximum responsiveness, or as close to finished goods as possible for maximum efficiency. The optimal position depends on your product type (Fisher model), customer lead time tolerance, and demand variability. Most successful hybrid strategies use postponement — deferring product differentiation as late as possible — to keep the decoupling point downstream while maintaining upstream efficiency.

Section 3: Supply Chain Network Design

Network design is the structural layer of supply chain strategy: the physical and relational architecture that determines how product flows from origin to customer. It encompasses decisions about facility locations, inventory positioning, transportation modes, and the number and type of nodes in the supply chain. Network design decisions are among the most consequential in supply chain management — they are expensive to implement, difficult to reverse, and their effects persist for years.

The Five Network Design Decisions

Decision	Key Question	Primary Trade-off	Common Analytical Tool

Facility Count and Location	How many facilities, and where?	Service level vs. cost — more facilities improve service but raise fixed costs	Network optimization models, center-of-gravity analysis
Facility Roles	What does each facility do?	Specialization vs. flexibility — specialized facilities are more efficient but less adaptable	Capacity planning, make-buy analysis
Inventory Positioning	Where in the network should inventory be held?	Responsiveness vs. carrying cost — closer to customer = faster service but more locations = more inventory	Safety stock calculations, risk pooling models
Transportation Network	What modes and routes connect nodes?	Speed vs. cost — faster modes cost more; direct shipments are faster but consolidation is cheaper	Transportation modeling, freight optimization
Information and Technology Architecture	How does data flow across the network?	Visibility vs. investment — integrated systems improve decision-making but require significant investment	ERP/WMS/TMS integration, supply chain visibility platforms

Centralized vs. Decentralized Network Structures

One of the most fundamental network design choices is how centralized or decentralized to be in facility and inventory deployment.

Structure	Characteristics	Cost Profile	Service Profile	Best Fit
Highly Centralized	Few large facilities serving broad geographic areas	Low fixed costs, high transportation costs, risk pooling reduces safety stock	Longer lead times, wider delivery windows	Low-value, high-volume, predictable demand products with tolerant customers
Moderately Centralized (Hub-Spoke)	Regional distribution centers fed by central DC	Moderate fixed costs, efficient stem routes, regional replenishment	Moderate lead times, balanced service coverage	Most B2B industrial products, retail replenishment, e-commerce fulfillment
Highly Decentralized	Many local facilities or stocking points close to customers	High fixed costs, low transportation costs, duplicated	Very short lead times, high availability	High-value, critical service, time-sensitive products

		safety stock at each location		(medical, emergency parts, perishables)

THE SQUARE ROOT LAW OF SAFETY STOCK

When consolidating N warehouse locations into one central location, safety stock requirement decreases by a factor of the square root of N. If you have 9 regional warehouses each carrying 1,000 units of safety stock (9,000 total), centralizing to one location reduces safety stock to approximately 3,000 units (the square root of 9 = 3). This is the mathematical basis for the cost argument in favor of centralization — and why network consolidation projects consistently show large inventory savings on paper.

Total Cost of Network Design

Network design decisions must be evaluated on total cost, not individual cost elements. The most common error in network design is optimizing for one cost category while ignoring others. A network designed to minimize transportation cost may achieve that goal while dramatically increasing inventory carrying cost and facility operating cost. A complete total cost model includes:

- **Facility costs:** Fixed costs of buildings, equipment, lease or ownership, utilities, and base labor
- **Transportation costs:** Inbound freight, outbound freight, inter-facility transfers, carrier costs by mode
- **Inventory carrying costs:** Capital cost of inventory, storage space allocation, obsolescence risk, insurance, shrinkage (typically 20-30% of inventory value annually)
- **Service level costs:** Lost sales, expediting costs, customer penalty costs associated with unmet service commitments
- **Administration and overhead:** Management, systems, compliance, and coordination costs

COMMON ERROR: Optimizing in Silos

Procurement optimizes for purchase price. Transportation optimizes for freight cost. Operations optimizes for unit production cost. Inventory management optimizes for carrying cost. Each function hits its own KPI target while total supply chain cost increases because the interactions between functions were never modeled. Network design requires modeling the entire cost system simultaneously — changes in one node affect costs in every connected node.

Section 4: Make vs. Buy — Defining Organizational Boundaries

Make-versus-buy decisions define the organizational boundary of the supply chain: which activities will be performed internally, and which will be outsourced to supply chain partners. These decisions shape the structure of the supply base, the investment requirements of internal operations, the risk profile of the supply chain, and the competitive differentiation available to the organization.

The Core Competency Test

Prahalad and Hamel's core competency framework remains the most useful starting point for make-buy analysis. A core competency meets three criteria: it provides access to a wide variety of markets, it makes a significant contribution to the perceived customer benefits of the end product, and it is difficult for competitors to imitate. Activities that meet these criteria should generally be retained internally. Activities that do not meet these criteria are candidates for outsourcing.

In supply chain terms: if a manufacturing process, technology, or capability differentiates your product in the market and is difficult to replicate, keep it. If it is a commodity activity that a specialized external provider can do better, faster, or cheaper without strategic risk, outsource it.

> **BEST PRACTICE: The Make-Buy Decision Matrix**
>
> Evaluate make-buy candidates against two dimensions: strategic importance (high/low) and relative capability (are you better than the market?). Activities that are strategically important AND where you have superior capability: keep in-house. Activities that are strategically important BUT where the market is better: develop internal capability or form a strategic partnership. Activities that are not strategically important AND where the market is better: outsource. Activities that are not strategically important AND where you are capable: consider outsourcing to refocus resources.

Total Cost of Outsourcing

The decision to outsource is frequently driven by direct labor cost comparison — unit cost to make internally versus unit cost from a supplier. This is a systematically incomplete analysis. The true cost of outsourcing includes multiple categories that are often invisible to the initial analysis:

Cost Category	Often Included?	Description
Quoted supplier unit price	Yes	The price on the supplier's quote or purchase order
Transportation and logistics costs	Sometimes	Inbound freight, customs, duties, handling costs not included in supplier price

Inventory carrying cost increase	Rarely	Longer lead times from outsourcing require more safety stock; carrying cost increases 20-30% of inventory value
Supplier management overhead	Rarely	Procurement staff time, supplier audits, qualification costs, ongoing management
Quality failure costs	Rarely	Defect detection, sorting, rework, returns, customer impact from quality escapes
IP and knowledge transfer risk	Almost never	Value of proprietary process knowledge transferred to supplier; competitive risk if supplier also serves competitors
Supply continuity risk premium	Almost never	Expected cost of supply disruptions weighted by probability — sole-sourcing increases this dramatically
Transition and switching costs	Sometimes	Cost to outsource (tooling, qualification, documentation) and cost to bring back in-house if needed

Section 5: Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)

Total Cost of Ownership is the analytical framework that brings all supply chain cost elements together for decision-making. TCO extends beyond purchase price to capture the full cost of acquiring, holding, using, and disposing of a purchased good or service. It is the correct basis for supplier selection, sourcing decisions, make-buy analysis, and network design choices.

The TCO Framework

TCO Phase	Cost Elements	Typical % of Purchase Price
Pre-Transaction Costs	Supplier identification and qualification, RFQ/RFP process, supplier audits, sample evaluation, tooling development, contract negotiation	2-8%
Transaction Costs	Unit purchase price, transportation and freight, customs and duties, payment terms cost of capital, receiving and inspection	100% baseline + 5-15% additional
Post-Transaction Costs	Quality failures and warranty, inventory carrying cost, supplier management overhead, obsolescence, disposal or recycling	15-40%

Risk-Adjusted Costs	Supply disruption probability x impact, single-source risk premium, geopolitical risk, currency risk, supplier financial risk	5-25% (highly variable by situation)

TCO IN PRACTICE: THE PRICE VS. COST DELTA

A supplier quoting 15% below the incumbent on unit price may have a TCO that is 10% higher when all cost elements are calculated. This is not hypothetical — it is the typical finding when organizations first implement rigorous TCO analysis. Low-cost-country sourcing frequently shows this pattern: lower unit price is more than offset by transportation cost, inventory carrying cost from long lead times, quality management overhead, and supply risk premium. TCO analysis makes these trade-offs visible and quantifiable.

Building a TCO Model

A practical TCO model for supplier or sourcing comparison follows a consistent structure:

- Step 1: Identify all relevant cost categories — use the framework above as a starting checklist, then add industry-specific elements
- Step 2: Assign data sources for each cost element — some are direct (purchase price, freight quotes), others require estimation (quality failure rates, carrying cost percentages)
- Step 3: Quantify each element for each option — express in consistent annual cost terms to enable comparison
- Step 4: Identify and document assumptions — every estimate carries uncertainty; document the assumptions so the model can be updated as data improves
- Step 5: Run sensitivity analysis — test how the decision changes if key assumptions change; decisions that are robust across a range of assumptions are more reliable
- Step 6: Validate with stakeholders — TCO models cut across functions; validate cost element estimates with Finance, Operations, Quality, and Logistics before finalizing

Section 6: Case Study — Meridian Industrial Components

MERIDIAN INDUSTRIAL COMPONENTS: SUPPLY CHAIN STRATEGY REDESIGN

Company Background

Meridian Industrial Components (MIC) is a Tier 2 automotive and industrial parts supplier headquartered in the Midwest. The company employs 600 people across three manufacturing plants and generates approximately $220 million in annual revenue. MIC produces precision metal stampings, machined

components, and specialty fasteners for automotive OEMs, heavy equipment manufacturers, and industrial machinery builders.

MIC's supply chain in Year 0 of this case study is not designed — it evolved. The company grew through acquisition, adding plants and product lines opportunistically. Each plant sources independently. Inventory is held at each plant plus a small central warehouse that serves as an overflow location rather than a strategic hub. Transportation is arranged plant-by-plant with no coordinated carrier strategy. The result is a supply chain with high costs, inconsistent service levels, and no clear competitive positioning.

The Strategic Crisis

MIC's largest customer, a Tier 1 automotive supplier, notifies MIC that it will be re-bidding the stamping contract — representing 28% of MIC's revenue — in 90 days. The customer's explicit requirements include a 15% cost reduction from current pricing, lead time reduction from 6 weeks to 3 weeks, and a commitment to on-time delivery performance of 98.5% or better. MIC currently delivers at 91% on-time.

The CEO engages an internal supply chain task force to assess whether MIC can credibly commit to these requirements and at what total cost. The task force's first action is to map MIC's current state supply chain and identify where costs are incurred.

Current State Analysis

Supply Chain Element	Current State	Target State	Gap
Competitive Priority	Undefined — plants optimize independently	Reliability and cost (Fisher: functional products)	No shared strategic framework
Network Structure	3 plants + 1 overflow warehouse, no hub-spoke design	Rationalized 2-plant model with strategic hub DC	Significant restructuring required
On-Time Delivery	91% (measured at ship date, not customer receipt)	98.5% (measured at customer dock)	Complex — current measure is inaccurate
Lead Time	6 weeks average to key customer	3 weeks	Driven by supplier lead times and internal scheduling
Inventory Turns	4.2 turns annually	7-8 turns (industry benchmark for Tier 2 auto)	Excess inventory throughout system

Sourcing Strategy	Decentralized, 3 separate supplier bases (187 active suppliers)	Consolidated, 60-80 strategic suppliers	Significant consolidation opportunity
Total Supply Chain Cost as % Revenue	~22%	17-18% (industry median)	4-5 percentage point reduction required

Strategic Recommendations

The task force develops a three-horizon supply chain strategy for MIC, organized around the Fisher model finding that MIC's products are clearly functional (stable demand, long life cycles, low differentiation), requiring an efficient rather than responsive supply chain design.

HORIZON 1 (0-12 MONTHS): Stabilize and Measure

Implement accurate OTD measurement at customer dock (not ship date)

Consolidate carrier relationships to 3 primary carriers with volume-based agreements

Conduct TCO analysis on top 40 suppliers by spend — identify true cost leaders vs. price leaders

Establish cross-plant S&OP process to replace independent plant scheduling

Target: Improve OTD to 96%, reduce transportation cost 8%, establish baseline total supply chain cost measurement

HORIZON 2 (12-36 MONTHS): Rationalize and Redesign

Consolidate from 187 to 75 active suppliers — concentrate spend with capable, cost-competitive suppliers

Evaluate plant network: close or repurpose underperforming plant, invest in most efficient remaining operations

Establish central distribution hub to replace overflow warehouse — implement hub-spoke network design

Reduce inbound material lead times through supplier consolidation and vendor-managed inventory agreements

Target: OTD 98.5%, lead time 3 weeks, inventory turns 6.5+, total SC cost 18.5% of revenue

HORIZON 3 (36-60 MONTHS): Differentiate and Sustain

Develop top 10 suppliers as strategic partners with shared cost reduction programs

Implement supply chain visibility technology to provide customers real-time order status

Build postponement capability at hub DC — defer configuration to customer order for select SKUs

Target: Become preferred supplier on cost, reliability, and service transparency — win new OEM business

Financial Impact Modeling

The task force develops a high-level financial model of the strategy's expected impact. The model is presented to the MIC leadership team to support the investment decision.

Initiative	Annual Cost Reduction	One-Time Investment	Payback Period
Supplier Consolidation (187 -> 75)	$2.8M - $3.5M (purchase price + admin)	$400K (transition, qualification)	1.5-2 years
Transportation Optimization	$1.2M - $1.8M (carrier consolidation + routing)	$150K (TMS basic, carrier RFP)	1-2 years
Inventory Reduction (turns 4.2 -> 6.5)	$1.8M - $2.4M (carrying cost reduction)	$0 (process change only)	Immediate
Plant Network Rationalization	$2.5M - $3.5M (fixed cost elimination)	$1.5M - $2.5M (consolidation costs)	2-3 years
Hub DC Establishment	($800K) - ($1.2M) net cost increase in Hub 2	$2.0M - $3.0M (facility + equipment)	3-4 years via network total cost
Total (3-Year Estimate)	$7.5M - $9.0M annually at full implementation	$4.0M - $6.0M total	2.5-3 years blended

CASE STUDY INSIGHT: The OTD Measurement Problem

When MIC implemented customer-dock measurement instead of ship-date measurement, apparent OTD immediately dropped from 91% to 83%. This was not a performance deterioration — it was accurate measurement replacing inaccurate measurement. The 8-point gap represented transit time variability and carrier performance failures that were invisible in the previous measurement system. This pattern is extremely common: organizations measuring OTD at time of shipment systematically overstate supply chain performance. Always measure at the point of customer receipt.

Section 7: The Supply Chain Strategy Process

Supply chain strategy is not a one-time design exercise. Markets change, customer requirements evolve, technology advances, and competitive landscapes shift. Effective supply chain organizations treat strategy as an ongoing process, not a periodic project.

The Strategic Review Cycle

Best-practice supply chain organizations conduct formal supply chain strategy reviews on three horizons:

Review Horizon	Frequency	Scope	Participants	Output
Operational Review	Monthly	Performance against KPIs, tactical issue resolution, capacity adjustments	SC Operations, Planning, Procurement leads	Action items, escalations, near-term plan adjustments
Tactical Review (S&OP)	Monthly	Demand-supply balance, inventory positioning, capacity planning over rolling 3-18 month horizon	Cross-functional: Sales, Operations, Finance, SC	Approved production and supply plan, inventory targets, financial projections
Strategic Review	Annual + triggered by major events	Network design, make-buy positioning, sourcing strategy, technology roadmap, competitive alignment	Executive team, SC leadership, external facilitator recommended	Updated SC strategy, investment priorities, 3-5 year roadmap

When to Trigger a Strategy Review Outside the Annual Cycle

Certain events should trigger an unscheduled supply chain strategy review regardless of where the annual cycle stands:

- Major customer win or loss exceeding 15% of revenue
- Acquisition or divestiture of a business unit
- Significant supply disruption revealing structural vulnerability
- Competitor supply chain move that changes industry benchmarks
- Technology capability shift that makes current network design obsolete or newly feasible
- Regulatory change affecting sourcing geography or environmental compliance
- Material cost shift exceeding 20% in a key commodity category

BEST PRACTICE: The Supply Chain Strategy Document

A supply chain strategy should exist as a written document, reviewed and approved by the executive team, updated through the strategic review cycle. The document should include: the competitive priority (explicit), network design principles, sourcing strategy guidelines,

make-buy policy, key performance targets, and the investment roadmap. Without a written strategy document, supply chain decisions default to function-level optimization — efficient locally, incoherent systemically.

Section 8: Supply Chain Metrics and KPI Alignment

Metrics must align with strategy. A supply chain designed for cost efficiency should be measured primarily on cost metrics. A supply chain designed for responsiveness should be measured primarily on speed and flexibility metrics. One of the most reliable signs of a misaligned supply chain is an organization that claims a responsiveness strategy but measures and rewards procurement on unit cost reduction.

The Strategic Metrics Framework

Competitive Priority	Primary Metrics	Secondary Metrics	Lagging Indicators
Cost Leadership	Total supply chain cost as % revenue, inventory turns, freight cost per unit, procurement savings	Supplier price competitiveness, DC cost per unit, overhead ratios	Gross margin, operating income, ROIC
Quality and Reliability	On-time in-full (OTIF), defect rate (PPM), supplier quality index, customer complaint rate	First-pass yield, return rate, warranty cost	Customer satisfaction score, retention rate, warranty accrual
Speed and Responsiveness	Order-to-delivery cycle time, perfect order rate, lead time vs. competition, demand fulfillment rate	Fill rate, backorder rate, expedite frequency	Market share in time-sensitive segments, win rate on speed-sensitive RFQs
Flexibility and Innovation	New product introduction lead time, engineering change execution time, volume flexibility ratio	SKU proliferation management, end-of-life inventory, demand variability absorption	Revenue from new products (<2 years old), innovation pipeline value

COMMON ERROR: Measuring Everything, Aligning Nothing

Many organizations maintain 40-60 supply chain metrics across functions. When everything is measured, nothing is prioritized. The result is metric gaming: functions improve their own metrics by shifting costs or problems to adjacent functions. A strategic metrics framework identifies the 5-7 metrics that directly reflect competitive priority achievement and holds the

organization accountable primarily to those. Other metrics are diagnostic — useful for troubleshooting — but not the basis for strategic performance evaluation.

Section 9: Key Charts and Analytical Frameworks

Supply Chain Cost Waterfall Chart

The following table represents the structure of a supply chain cost waterfall — a key analytical tool for understanding where total supply chain cost is incurred and where reduction opportunities exist. Each element is expressed as a percentage of revenue for a representative Tier 2 automotive supplier.

Cost Element	Industry Best-in-Class	Industry Median	MIC Current State	MIC Target (Year 3)
Direct Material Cost	52-55%	55-60%	58%	54%
Inbound Transportation	1.5-2.0%	2.0-2.5%	2.8%	2.0%
Manufacturing / Conversion Cost	14-16%	16-19%	19%	16%
Outbound Transportation	1.0-1.5%	1.5-2.0%	2.1%	1.5%
Inventory Carrying Cost	2.0-2.5%	2.5-3.5%	4.2%	2.8%
Warehousing and Distribution	1.0-1.5%	1.5-2.0%	2.1%	1.5%
Quality and Warranty	0.5-1.0%	1.0-1.5%	1.4%	0.9%
Supply Chain Administration	0.5-1.0%	1.0-1.5%	1.4%	0.9%
TOTAL SUPPLY CHAIN COST	~73-79%	~81-92%	~91%	~80%

Network Complexity vs. Total Cost Curve

The following table illustrates the classic network design trade-off curve: as the number of distribution facilities increases, transportation costs decrease (more facilities mean shorter, cheaper delivery routes) but facility fixed costs and inventory carrying costs increase (each additional location requires dedicated inventory). Total cost is minimized at the optimal number of facilities for a given service level requirement.

Number of DCs	Avg Transit Days to Customer	Transportation Cost Index	Facility Cost Index	Inventory Cost Index	Total Cost Index
1 Central DC	3.8 days	135	25	60	100 (baseline)

2 Regional DCs	2.6 days	110	45	72	96 (optimal zone)
3 Regional DCs	1.9 days	92	65	85	97
5 Regional DCs	1.4 days	78	95	110	106
8 Regional DCs	1.0 days	68	145	145	128
12 Regional DCs	0.7 days	62	210	188	163

Note: Index values are illustrative. Optimal DC count varies significantly by geography, product characteristics, customer service requirements, and cost structure. Network modeling software is required for accurate optimization in real-world applications.

Supplier Segmentation Matrix

The supplier segmentation matrix maps suppliers against two dimensions — strategic importance and supply risk — to determine the appropriate relationship model and management intensity for each supplier. This tool is foundational to sourcing strategy development.

Segment	Strategic Importance	Supply Risk	Relationship Model	Management Approach	MIC Examples
Strategic Partners	High	High	Deep collaboration, joint investment, executive-level relationship	Quarterly business reviews, shared cost reduction programs, joint technology development	Specialty steel supplier, precision tooling partner
Leverage Suppliers	High	Low	Competitive sourcing, multi-source, volume leverage	Annual RFQ, volume consolidation, performance scorecards	Standard fastener suppliers, commodity steel
Bottleneck Suppliers	Low	High	Risk mitigation: dual sourcing, buffer inventory, relationship investment to reduce switching barriers	Supply continuity focus, develop alternative sources, reduce dependency over time	Sole-source specialty coatings, proprietary process chemicals
Transactional	Low	Low	Streamline, automate,	Catalog purchasing,	Office supplies, MRO

			minimize management cost	PCards, minimal oversight	commodities, standard hardware

Section 10: Best Practices, Common Errors, and Tips for Practitioners

Top 10 Best Practices in Supply Chain Strategy

#	Best Practice	Why It Matters
1	Explicitly define your competitive priority before designing supply chain structure	Without a clear priority, every design decision becomes a negotiation between conflicting objectives
2	Model total cost, not component cost, for every major supply chain decision	Component cost optimization systematically produces total cost suboptimization
3	Match supply chain design to product type (Fisher model)	Functional products need efficient chains; innovative products need responsive chains — mismatches are expensive
4	Segment your supplier base and manage each segment appropriately	Treating all suppliers the same wastes management resources on low-risk transactions and underinvests in strategic relationships
5	Measure OTD at customer dock, not at point of shipment	Ship-date measurement is a vanity metric — it hides carrier performance failures and transit variability
6	Conduct formal supply chain strategy review at least annually	Supply chains drift from strategic intent over time as operational decisions accumulate without strategic review
7	Position your decoupling point explicitly and design each zone accordingly	Upstream efficiency and downstream responsiveness require different operating models — the boundary must be defined
8	Use risk-adjusted TCO in make-buy and sourcing decisions	Unadjusted price comparison systematically underestimates the cost of low-cost-country sourcing and sole-source risk
9	Write and maintain a supply chain strategy document approved by executive leadership	A verbal strategy is not a strategy — it cannot be executed consistently across functions without a written reference
10	Validate supply chain metric alignment with competitive priority annually	Misaligned metrics (e.g., rewarding cost reduction in a responsiveness-priority supply chain) destroy strategic coherence

The Most Costly Supply Chain Strategy Errors

CRITICAL ERROR 1: Absence of Strategy

The most common supply chain strategy error is having no supply chain strategy at all. Without deliberate design, supply chains default to historical precedent, functional optimization, and the path of least resistance. The result is a supply chain that may be locally efficient but is globally incoherent — and cannot serve as a competitive differentiator regardless of how well individual functions execute.

CRITICAL ERROR 2: Confusing Lowest Price with Lowest Cost

The equation "cheapest supplier = lowest cost" is false in virtually all supply chain contexts. Landed cost (including freight, duty, inventory, risk, and management overhead) consistently differs from purchase price, often by 25-50% for offshore sources. Organizations that select suppliers on price without TCO analysis will always be surprised by the true cost of their decisions.

CRITICAL ERROR 3: Network Design by Incremental Addition

Most supply chain networks are not designed — they accumulate. Each facility, carrier, and supplier was added one at a time in response to a specific need. The resulting network is typically more complex, more costly, and less service-effective than a purpose-designed network would be. Periodic zero-based network design review — asking what the optimal network would look like if designed from scratch today — is the antidote.

CRITICAL ERROR 4: Outsourcing Strategic Capability

Organizations pursuing cost reduction through outsourcing frequently transfer capabilities that turn out to be strategically critical. Once outsourced, these capabilities are difficult and expensive to rebuild internally. The make-buy decision must include a strategic capability assessment, not just a cost comparison. If you cannot answer "what would we do if this supplier failed tomorrow?" the answer reveals a dangerous dependency.

QUICK REFERENCE: SUPPLY CHAIN STRATEGY AND DESIGN

Key Frameworks at a Glance

Framework	Core Question	Primary Application	Key Insight

Fisher Model	Is my product functional or innovative?	Choosing between efficient vs. responsive supply chain design	Match supply chain type to product demand characteristics
SCOR Model	How do I describe and measure supply chain processes?	Process benchmarking, performance measurement, organizational alignment	Common language enables cross-functional and cross-company comparison
Lean-Agile Continuum / Decoupling Point	Where should my supply chain shift from push to pull?	Hybrid supply chain design for mixed product portfolios	Lean upstream + agile downstream = efficient responsiveness
Network Design Trade-off Curve	How many facilities do I need, and where?	Distribution network design, facility rationalization	Total cost (not component cost) minimization determines optimal network
TCO Framework	What does this supply chain decision really cost?	Supplier selection, make-buy analysis, sourcing strategy	Purchase price is almost never total cost — model all elements
Supplier Segmentation Matrix	How should I allocate supply chain management resources?	Supplier relationship design, procurement resource allocation	Different suppliers require fundamentally different management models
Core Competency Test	What should we keep in-house vs. outsource?	Make-buy decisions, outsourcing strategy	Retain capabilities that differentiate; outsource commodity activities

Supply Chain Strategy Checklist

Checklist Item	Status Indicators	Action if Not in Place
Competitive priority is explicitly defined and documented	Written priority statement; executive team alignment; SC decisions reference it	Conduct competitive strategy workshop with executive team; document and communicate priority
Supply chain design matches competitive priority	Fisher classification done; network designed for efficient vs. responsive accordingly	Conduct SC design audit vs. Fisher classification; identify mismatches; develop redesign roadmap
Total cost modeling used for major decisions	TCO models exist for top 10 suppliers; make-buy decisions include risk-adjusted cost	Build standard TCO template; train procurement and SC teams; implement in sourcing process

Network design reviewed in last 3 years	Documented network design review with total cost modeling; optimization conducted	Commission network design study; engage supply chain consulting or internal analytics team
Supplier base segmented and managed accordingly	Segment classification for top 80% of spend; segment-specific management plans in place	Implement supplier segmentation model; develop management approach for each segment
Supply chain metrics aligned to competitive priority	5-7 primary metrics directly linked to competitive priority; executive dashboard in place	Audit current metrics; eliminate misaligned metrics; build strategic metric set and dashboard
Written supply chain strategy document exists	Current (< 1 year old) documented strategy; executive approved; used in decision-making	Draft supply chain strategy document; review with executive team; publish and communicate

Sources and Further Reading

Fisher, M.L. (1997). "What Is the Right Supply Chain for Your Product?" Harvard Business Review, March-April 1997. The foundational framework for matching supply chain design to product characteristics.

Chopra, S. & Meindl, P. Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation (7th ed.). Pearson. The leading graduate textbook covering all dimensions of supply chain strategy and design.

ASCM (formerly APICS). SCOR Digital Standard. The current version of the Supply Chain Operations Reference framework, available at ascm.org. The standard reference for supply chain process benchmarking and measurement.

Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P. & Simchi-Levi, E. Designing and Managing the Supply Chain (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill. Comprehensive coverage of network design, inventory optimization, and supply chain analytics.

Prahalad, C.K. & Hamel, G. (1990). "The Core Competence of the Corporation." Harvard Business Review, May-June 1990. The foundational strategic framework for make-buy and outsourcing decisions.

Gartner Supply Chain Research: gartner.com/en/supply-chain — Benchmarking data, best practice research, and technology assessments for supply chain practitioners.

ASCM Supply Chain Insights: ascm.org — Professional development resources, certification programs (CSCP, CPIM), and supply chain management standards.

Institute for Supply Management (ISM): ismworld.org — Procurement and supply management resources, ISM Report on Business, and professional standards.