OPERATIONS AND STRATEGY NEWSLETTER

Reshoring Supply Chains: Why Contracts Aren't Enough.

True resilience requires operational redundancy on the shop floor, not just legal agreements in an office tower.

Semiconductors · Supply Chain Risk · Operational Resilience · Industrial Strategy

> The newsletter for manufacturing executives who prefer physical redundancy over comfortable denial of risk.

The Big Lie: Why Paper Agreements Don't Move Stuff

In the executive suite, a signed contract is often viewed as a finished move. They see a legal binding between two entities and check a box labeled "Resilient." But on the shop floor, a piece of paper doesn’t calibrate a machine, it doesn’t train an operator for a night shift, and it certainly doesn't manufacture a wafer when a local supplier hits a snag.

We need to call this what it is: **The Contract Illusion**.

A contract is not a supply chain; it is merely a statement of intent. It is the difference between "having permission" to source locally and actually having the physical capacity to do so. I have seen many organizations celebrate a signed domestic partnership only to find out, six months later, that while they had the legal right to move production, they didn't have the local tooling, the qualified technicians, or the validated process parameters to make it happen.

A contract is not an operational reality. It is a piece of paper designed for lawyers and stakeholders; operational resilience is something built in grease, metal shavings, and calibrated sensors. When we treat a signed agreement as a substitute for physical infrastructure, we aren't building a resilient supply chain—we are just delaying the moment of failure until it becomes an emergency rather than a managed risk. To move from "intent" to "execution," we must stop looking at what is promised in a contract and start looking at what is physically possible on the floor when things go wrong.

What is Actually Happening on the Floor?

When leadership talks about "reshoring success," they are often describing a theoretical state of security. When an operator stands at a machine, they see a different reality based on physical constraints. The gap between these two perspectives is where most supply chain failures hide.

The following table highlights the difference between the executive perception and the operational reality:

Executive Assumption	Floor Reality
Contractual Security: "We have a domestic partner signed to provide our components."	**Tooling Scarcity:** The local vendor has the contract, but they don't own the specific CNC machines or custom fixtures needed for our high-tolerance parts.
Seamless Transition: "The supplier is ready to ramp up production immediately."	**Skill Gap:** The new facility has the space, but no one on their current shift knows how to perform the specific calibration required for our wafer specs.
Reliable Logistics: "Shipping from a domestic port reduces our lead-time risk."	**Process Drift:** Because local volume is lower than overseas demand, the vendor’s process isn't being audited as frequently, leading to higher scrap rates and drift.
Diversified Source: "We have two different suppliers in the same region."	**Single Point of Failure:** Both "different" suppliers use the exact same specialized sub-component from a single, non-domestic source.

The True Cost: When Strategy Meets Equipment Failure

The cost of ignoring the physical reality is rarely just a line item on a balance sheet; it is often a total work stoppage that ripples through the entire organization. We call this **The Dependency Trap**.

When you rely on a contract without verifying the underlying infrastructure, you are essentially outsourcing your risk to someone who doesn't have the tools to manage it for you. For example, if a critical piece of tooling fails and your "domestic partner" has to wait three weeks for a replacement part from overseas because they didn't invest in local spares—the contract doesn't matter. The line stays down.

The consequences are not just delayed shipments; they are:

- **Loss of Skilled Labor:** When production stops due to avoidable technical hurdles, your best operators move to other lines or find work elsewhere. You cannot "contract" a skilled workforce back into existence once it has walked off the floor.
- **Erosion of Trust:** If the shop floor sees that leadership is making promises about "resiliency" that don't translate to better tools or more stable processes, they will stop believing in the strategy and start looking for ways to bypass your systems just to get their jobs done.
- **The Cost of Reactive Fixes:** It is significantly cheaper to spend money on redundant tooling today than it is to pay for emergency air-freight and "expedite" fees tomorrow because a domestic partner's single point of failure became your crisis.

Three Pillars of Operational Resilience (Physical Redundancy)

To move beyond the Contract Illusion, we must focus on what can be measured, touched, and verified. If you cannot see it on the floor or track it in a maintenance log, it isn't part of your resilience strategy. We focus on these three pillars:

1. Tooling and Equipment Localization

You must verify that your domestic partners own—and maintain—the specific tools required for your product. This means having redundant machines on-site so that if one fails, the line doesn't stop. It means having a local inventory of high-wear parts (like specialized bits or sensors) that are kept in-house rather than being ordered "just in time." If you can’t point to the spare part sitting on the shelf, it isn't a redundancy; it’s an aspiration.

2. Deep Workforce Skill Mapping

A contract doesn't train a technician. You must map out exactly which skills are required for every step of your process and verify that those skills exist in the local labor pool. This means identifying "linchpin" roles—positions where only one person knows how to perform a specific calibration or repair. Resilience requires that these skills are documented, taught, and shared across multiple shifts so that a single absence doesn't paralyze production.

3. Standardized Local Process Qualification

Every process must be qualified locally under the same standards as your primary sites. This means performing local Gauge R&R studies, running validation runs with actual local materials, and ensuring the "standard work" is actually followed on-site. You aren't just hoping they can do it; you are proving they can do it consistently over time through repeated trials and documented results.

Immediate Actions for the VP of Operations

If you want to move from a paper strategy to an operational reality, start with these actions this month. Don't wait for the next quarterly review; get on the floor.

1. **Conduct a Tooling Audit:** Identify your top five most critical components and physically verify where their manufacturing tools are located. If those tools have to travel more than 50 miles to be repaired or replaced, you have a single point of failure that no contract can fix.
2. **Perform a Skill Gap Analysis:** Ask the floor leads to identify "linchpin" processes—tasks that only one person knows how to do. Create a training plan this quarter to ensure at least three people on every shift are certified in those specific tasks.
3. **Execute a "Failure Scenario" Drill:** Pick a critical piece of equipment and ask the team: *"If this breaks right now, what is our move?"* If the answer involves waiting for an outside vendor or a part from another state, you need to update your local inventory immediately.
4. **Formalize Local Process Validation:** Require that any domestic partner must provide a "Process Capability Report" every quarter, not just a status update on contract compliance. They must prove their process is stable and within spec before they earn the right to be part of your primary supply chain.

Call to Action

What single piece of equipment or trained skill set could your operation most easily lose right now? Share this diagnosis with a colleague who needs it.

Newsletter replies: newsletter@sixsigmakaizen.com · X: @kaizen_6sigma

Stay curious, stay improving,

David Rodgers
SixSigmaKaizen.com

References: Semiconductor Wafer Reshoring Analysis (Source Article)